YOU’RE THE JUDGE KEY POINTS

FUNDING OPPORTUNITY: Work-based Learning Opportunities ($100,000 for three years)

Scenario 1:
- Strengths: Makes case (with research statement)
- Weaknesses: solution may not perfectly align to stated need (why are there gaps for low-income students and how does work-based activity fulfill this gap), what will they do? Vague statement about what the project actually does

Scenario 2:
- Strengths: Detailed and clear overview, aligns with request, some thought to sustainability
- Weaknesses: No case presented, no data, may be too ambitious

Scenario 3:
- Strengths: Aligns with sponsor, activities clearly stated
- Weaknesses: No case presented, no data that presents problem, no sustainability/lasting impact

Scenario 4:
- Strengths: Great presentation of data and case for something....
- Weaknesses: does the data/case align to the project? Is the scope of work enough? The impact enough considering the amount? Is this sustainable? Does not align with the sponsor completely (lacks building partnerships with schools/industry)

Scenario 5:
- Strengths: Presents a strong case, aligns through re-imagining a smart career pathway, provides data, more or less states activities and outcomes, might be more feasible for the funding amount
- Weaknesses: strong focus on one pathway, is this what the sponsor wants?, a little unexpected, could expand on the career pathways related to agriculture (i.e. STEM, robotics, water, management, ABIT, etc.)