

## Characteristics of Successful Threaded Discussions

*Washington State University*

2002

### 1. Presentation of Discussion

<i>Does not encourage critical engagement</i>	<i>Does encourage critical engagement</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does not articulate goal(s) for the discussion clearly or does so vaguely.</li> <li>• Does not relate goal(s) for the discussion to the activity/course goals.</li> <li>• Invites a yes/no answer</li> <li>• Ignores students' personal knowledge base and/or experiences</li> <li>• Encourages students to repeat contents of resources</li> <li>• Does not encourage students to interact with one another.</li> <li>• Does not encourage students to apply evaluation criteria to one another's or their own comments.</li> <li>• Does not integrate evaluation criteria</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Articulates goal(s) for the discussion clearly.</li> <li>▪ Relates goal(s) for the discussion to the activity/course goals.</li> <li>▪ Is open-ended</li> <li>▪ Encourages students to draw on personal knowledge and experience</li> <li>▪ Encourages students to synthesize information, experiences, perspectives, etc.</li> <li>▪ Encourages students to engage each other, perhaps applying evaluation criteria to theirs and others contributions to the discussion</li> <li>▪ Encourages students to present and support their own values on the issues.</li> <li>▪ Integrates evaluation criteria</li> </ul>

### 2. Evaluation Criteria

<i>Does not encourage critical engagement</i>	<i>Does encourage critical engagement</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does not clearly state expectations in advance</li> <li>• States expectations but these expectations are not clear</li> <li>• Does not relate expectations to the discussion goal or does so only vaguely.</li> <li>• Does not encourage critical analysis of key issues/concepts.</li> <li>• Is rigid, not allowing enough flexibility for creative brainstorming, synthesis, and analysis.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Provides clear and explicit expectations for the discussion</li> <li>▪ Relates expectations clearly to the stated goals for the discussion.</li> <li>▪ Encourages critical analysis of key issues/concepts</li> <li>▪ Provides enough flexibility to allow for creative brainstorming, synthesis, and analysis</li> </ul>

3. *Nature of facilitator's contributions*

<i>Does not encourage critical engagement</i>	<i>Does encourage critical engagement</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pronounces answers right or wrong; caps discussion extensively.</li> <li>• Does not invite further questioning.</li> <li>• Does not encourage elaboration of thoughts.</li> <li>• Establishes primarily student/facilitator dialogue.</li> <li>• Does not allow time for discussion and/or tangents to develop.</li> <li>• Does not intervene to keep discussion on-topic when necessary.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Challenges view presented or invites further questioning.</li> <li>• Invites expanded elaboration.</li> <li>• Invites students to dialogue with others.</li> <li>• Allows time for the discussion to develop.</li> <li>• Allows elaboration of ideas, even those that may initially appear to be off-topic, yet later prove to be highly relevant, to develop without intervening too early.</li> <li>• Does intervene to keep discussion on-topic when appropriate and does so in a way that is supportive.</li> </ul>

4. *Content of posts*

<i>Does not encourage critical engagement</i>	<i>Does encourage critical engagement</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does not meet criteria for activity or discussion.</li> <li>• Tends to consist of non-substantive comments such as "I agree."</li> <li>• Tends to espouse personal opinions and does not demonstrate a willingness to engage in a critical examination of alternative views.</li> <li>• Is self-contained with little or no reference to other posts.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meets or exceeds criteria for activity or discussion.</li> <li>• Demonstrates thoughtful and substantive analysis of either the topic at issue or other posts.</li> <li>• Demonstrates a willingness to listen to and consider other viewpoints.</li> <li>• Encourages further interaction by challenging or offering/requesting further elaboration.</li> <li>• Includes references to other posts.</li> </ul>

5. *Development of threads*

<i>Does not encourage critical engagement</i>	<i>Does encourage critical engagement</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develops no threads.</li> <li>• Develops short threads (few responses to posts).</li> <li>• Contains subject lines that are either blank or unchanged from parent posting.</li> <li>• Contains a discussion that is dominated by one or two participants.</li> <li>• Contains a discussion in which participants tend to interact with a narrow group of their peers in the class.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develops threads with multiple responses to original posts and other responses.</li> <li>• Makes informative use of subject line.</li> <li>• Contains a discussion in which multiple voices appear in multiple roles.</li> <li>• Contains a discussion in which participants engage with each other broadly by responding to posts by different members of the course.</li> </ul>