

COL- COLLEGE	CTE/ GenEd	PROGRAM	Major Code	Overall Health of Program	Attainment Student Ed Goals (!)	Per-sistence
MauCC	GenEd	Liberal Arts	LBRT	Healthy	88.7%	70.0%
MauCC	CTE	Accounting	ACC	Healthy	88.9%	67.2%
MauCC	CTE	Applied Busn & Info Tech	ABIT	new pgm	88.9%	92.3%
MauCC	CTE	Business Careers	BUSC	Healthy	88.9%	61.2%
MauCC	CTE	Business Technology	BTEC	Healthy	88.9%	71.4%
MauCC	CTE	Food Service	FSER	Healthy	88.9%	65.9%
MauCC	CTE	Hospitality & Tourism	HOPE	Healthy	88.9%	78.3%
MauCC	CTE	Administration of Justice	AJ	Healthy	88.9%	75.0%
MauCC	CTE	Human Services	HSER	Healthy	88.9%	64.4%
MauCC	CTE	Nursing: Assoc Degree	NURS	Healthy	88.9%	123.8%
MauCC	CTE	Nursing: Practical Nurse	PRCN	Healthy	88.9%	92.3%
MauCC	CTE	Nursing: Dental Assisting	DENT	Healthy	88.9%	88.5%
MauCC	CTE	Agriculture & Natl Resources	AG	Healthy	88.9%	67.6%
MauCC	CTE	Auto Body Repair & Paint	ABRP	Cautionary	88.9%	66.7%
MauCC	CTE	Automotive Technology	AMT	Healthy	88.9%	62.3%
MauCC	CTE	Building Maintenance	BLMP	Cautionary	88.9%	57.1%
MauCC	CTE	Carpentry Technology	CARP	Cautionary	88.9%	71.4%
MauCC	CTE	Drafting Technology	DRAF	Cautionary	88.9%	33.3%
MauCC	CTE	Electronic-Cmptr Enginr Tech	ECET	Healthy	88.9%	74.1%
MauCC	CTE	Fashion Technology	FT	Cautionary	88.9%	80.0%
MauCC	CTE	Sustainable Technology	ENGY	Cautionary	88.9%	70.0%
MauCC	CTE	Welding Technology	WELD	Cautionary	88.9%	0.0%

Notes:

(!) Based on Sp07 Grad-Lea

(+) IPEDS sample size is to

OUTCOME		OUTCOME - Perkins								
Graduation Rate	Transfer Rates (+)	Success Rate @otherUH (+)	Licensure & Certification	1P1 Ac Achieve	1P2 Voc Achieve	2P1 Completion	3P1 Place Emp/Ed	3P2 Retn Employ	4P1 Non-Tradl Parti	4P2 Non-Tradl Cmpltn
9.1%										
14.1%				#####	#####	34.62%	0.00%	n/a	10.81%	18.18%
10.2%				88.89%	#####	33.33%	#####	#####	0.00%	0.00%
15.9%				94.74%	90.48%	19.05%	#####	#####		
31.1%				71.11%	81.36%	32.20%	75.00%	86.67%		
34.8%				89.47%	94.74%	63.16%	80.00%	#####	25.42%	14.29%
25.0%				40.00%	#####	40.00%	#####	#####	50.00%	50.00%
10.0%				87.04%	98.59%	11.27%	83.33%	#####	12.87%	15.38%
71.4%			97%	94.87%	#####	87.80%	89.47%	94.12%	14.81%	15.79%
73.1%			100%	#####	#####	60.00%	40.00%	#####	11.11%	9.30%
			86%	#####	#####	22.22%	33.33%	#####	3.57%	0.00%
0.0%				#####	#####	50.00%			45.71%	80.00%
41.7%				66.67%	#####	33.33%	#####	#####	7.69%	0.00%
13.2%				91.67%	75.00%	37.50%	#####	#####	8.77%	33.33%
0.0%					0.00%	0.00%			14.29%	#####
0.0%				#####	#####	0.00%			12.50%	
33.3%				#####	#####	0.00%	#####	#####	75.00%	
10.3%				#####	90.91%	0.00%	#####	#####	23.33%	50.00%
10.0%				#####	66.67%	16.67%			3.85%	#####
0.0%				#####	#####	0.00%			16.67%	
0.0%					#####	0.00%			0.00%	

aver survey; CTE breakout by program results in sample size too small for reliable reporting.
 o small to crosstab by program; any conclusions are unreliable.

Outcome Health	DEMAND						
	Number Applicants	Number Majors	SSH Majors in pgm classes	SSH for all program classes	Number Classes Taught	2005-11 Add'l State Jobs	2005-11 Add'l Maui Jobs
Healthy	715	1,183		15,305	204		
Healthy	32	64		552	9	1,542	219
		26		173	7	1,131	94
Healthy	62	98		916	12	1,797	174
Healthy	27	63		1,024	17	501	57
Healthy	69	132		1,198	34	2,078	369
Healthy	19	46		285	5	2,256	369
Cautionary	11	20		129	2	645	53
Healthy	100	180		729	17	4,398	324
Healthy		42		1,575	15	3,634	201
Healthy		52		1,575	15	715	169
Healthy		26		243	5	535	72
Healthy	15	34		254	6	2,459	438
Cautionary	13	12		164	5	-52	25
Healthy	39	53		411	9	471	128
Unhealthy	8	14		221	8	1,852	346
Unhealthy	5	7		45	1	688	146
Cautionary	3	3		0	0	778	76
Cautionary	27	58		998	17	177	37
Cautionary	11	20		207	5	7	7
Cautionary	8	10		76	1	104	24
Cautionary	4	1		0	0	32	5

Notes:

Applicants to Nursing and Dental Assisting programs are nested within Liberal Arts, completing prereqs, until acceptance.

Applicants to ABIT are nested under BUSC and otherwise.

No. Majors for ADN & PN: more reliable Nursing Department data is reported instead of MAPS.

SSH, no. classes, other MAPS data nests NURS, BUS, BCIS, HLTH alphas; unable to parse to respective program; in

Source: MAPS; F05 is preliminary data (only data available at time needed for faculty to work on program reviews.

Job positions data not provided for liberal arts.

EFFICIENCY

Current Positions State	Current Positions Maui	Demand Health	FTE Program Enrollment	Average Class Size	Degrees, CAs 2004-05	ASCs and COs	Class Fill Rate	Cost per FTE major
		Healthy	1,022	25	108	0	98.2%	
11,222	1,338	Cautionary	37	20	9	0	73.0%	
4,526	279	new pgm	12	10		0	37.2%	
7,344	546	Healthy	61	28	10	0	118.8%	
2,091	190	Cautionary	68	20	10	10	80.9%	
6,047	925	Healthy	80	15	41	1	91.9%	
8,383	1,115	Healthy	19	18	16	15	80.0%	
2,607	179	Healthy	9	22	5	0	71.7%	
11,934	1,253	Healthy	49	14	18	8	66.8%	
10,624	451	Healthy	105/	38/	30	0	100.0%	
2,411	166	Healthy	105/	38/	38	0	100.0%	
1,476	209	Cautionary	16	16		15	81.0%	
10,896	2,208	Healthy	17	13	0	0	76.7%	
899	93	Cautionary	11	16	5	2	102.5%	
3,562	415	Healthy	27	14	7	0	88.2%	
8,123	1,157	Cautionary	15	14	0	0	85.2%	
6,876	897	Cautionary	3	8	0	0	112.5%	
1,143	120	Unhealthy	0	0	1	0	0.0%	
4,072	302	Healthy	67	19	6	0	94.4%	
277	25	Healthy	14	14	2	2	92.0%	
341	66	Healthy	5	10	0	0	168.8%	
237	27	Cautionary	0	0	0	0	0.0%	

ted as a NURS major.

clusive of outreach.

Notes: Average class size where programs have multiple alphas is the c

Degrees & Certificates: ABIT and Dental programs are too new .

Class Fill Rate computed by dividing SSH in major classes by the average cla

Cost data is unavailable to compute Cost per FTE major.

Cost per SSH extracted from MAPS Expenditure report, 2003-04.

Business Technology average, based on ACC, ABIT, BTEC, BUSC, and E

Public Services average, including 2 lecturer-taught sections of AJ along

Health Service average, based on Associate Degree, Practical Nursing, N

Other Technology average, based on AG, ABRP, AMT, BLMP, CARP, DF

FTE BOR Appointed Faculty based on F05 to match MAPS data.

FTE Workload computed as SSH in major courses divided by FTE faculty.

Credit and Percent Class Taught by Lecturers is based on Banner Fall 2004 c

ENCY

Cost per SSH (<)	FTE BOR appointed faculty	FTE Workload (>)	Majors per FTE Faculty	Credit taught by Lecturers (=)	% classes taught by Lecturers (=)	Demand Efficiency
\$110	23.5	40.9	50.3	258	42%	Healthy
\$214	2.5	1.8	25.6	9	15%	Cautionary
\$214	2.0	1.6	13.0	0	0%	new pgm
\$214	1.5	2.1	65.3	3	30%	Healthy
\$214	2.0	3.5	31.5	14	35%	Healthy
\$234	4.0	7.0	33.0	34	36%	Cautionary
\$214	1.0	1.2	46.0	0	0%	Healthy
\$51	0.0	0.4	20.0	6	100%	Cautionary
\$95	2.0	3.5	90.0	12	50%	Healthy
\$345	4.5	n/a	9.3	0	0%	Healthy
\$345	5.5	n/a	9.5	5	7%	Healthy
	0.0	1.0	26.0	12	100%	Healthy
\$67	2.0	1.5	17.0	0	0%	Cautionary
\$67	1.0	1.0	12.0	0	0%	Healthy
\$67	2.0	2.4	26.5	10	33%	Healthy
\$67	1.0	1.1	14.0	2	20%	Cautionary
\$67	0.0	0.3	7.0	3	100%	Cautionary
\$67	0.0	0.0	3.0	7	100%	Unhealthy
\$67	3.0	3.7	19.3	29	53%	Healthy
\$67	1.0	1.0	20.0	3	25%	Cautionary
\$67	1.0	0.4	10.0	0	0%	Cautionary
\$67	0.0	0.0	1.0	2	100%	Cautionary

verage of averages.

ss max.

IOST pooled data.

with HSER.

nurse Aid, and other Nursing pooled data.

RAF, ECET, FT, ENGY, and WELD pooled data.

course download, last available data; excludes outreach.